



Welcome to the LANDFIRE and Lake States Fire Science Consortium webinar “Got Veggies.” I’m Jeannie Patton, Communications Lead for The Nature Conservancy’s LANDFIRE Program. We’re co-hosting this webinar with Lake States because LANDFIRE is updating about 1500 Biophysical Settings vegetation models and descriptions, and want to offer an overview of maps and provide information that is pertinent to this region. Also on the call with us is Jack McGowan-Stinski, Program Manager for the Lake States Fire Science Consortium.

Leading the conversation is Randy Swaty of the The Nature Conservancy’s LANDFIRE team. Randy is one of the ecologists who will help lead this complex, important contribution to ecological knowledge.

Randy joined the TNC Michigan Chapter in 2002 and the LANDFIRE program in 2007. His scientific specialties include spatial scales from community genetics to mycorrhizal ecology and landscape-scale planning. Randy has worked with federal partners and a large landowners to promote sustainable management and was the Great Lakes LANDFIRE modeling lead. He lives and works in Marquette, MI.

Today's Agenda



Randy Swaty
rswaty@tnc.org

- A bit about vegetation models
- A bit about BpS review: why it's necessary, and how it will work
- You can be involved
- More information and help

I'm Randy Swaty, ecologist on The Nature Conservancy's LANDFIRE team. In the next half hour, I'll introduce LANDFIRE to you, talk about how we developed Biophysical Settings vegetation – BpS – descriptions and models, and try to set the stage for the upcoming BpS review.

Introduction to LANDFIRE

LANDFIRE

Landscape Fire and Resource Management Planning Tools Project

An innovative program designed to **create** and periodically **update** comprehensive vegetation, fire and fuel characteristics **data** using a **consistent process** for the entire United States.



KEYWORDS: nationwide, consistent, ecological models, GIS data, tools, fire/non-fire, spatial data



LANDFIRE is an innovative program designed to create and update vegetation, fire and fuel data for the entire United States. Leading partners are Department of the Interior, US Forest Service and The Nature Conservancy, along with collaborators in the natural resources world who contribute knowledge, data and technical expertise. LANDFIRE supports resource management activities across the country, with spatial data, vegetation models, and powerful user tools.

Spatial Datasets


LANDFIRE

- Uses peer-reviewed, consistent, repeatable scientific methods
- Delivers an “all-lands” spatial dataset of vegetation




LANDFIRE Products

- Vegetation-not just fire
- Fire Regimes
- References and Baselines
- Fuels (Models and Measurements)
- Disturbance Characteristics
- Topographic and GIS Spatial Analysis




LANDFIRE uses peer-reviewed scientific methods, and delivers datasets of vegetation, fire, and fuels information for all land ownership types. Products include more than 20 geo-spatial layers and relational databases that support a wide range of analysis and modeling applications – whether fire-focused or not. And you can combine datasets to assess conditions on your own landscape.

Spatial Datasets




I want to give you a quick tour of a few of the LANDFIRE spatial datasets, historic first. This map represents modeled Historic Mean Fire Return Interval. The spatial datasets are delivered as grids, or rasters with 30m pixel size. That said, they were and are intended for large-scale use.

Spatial Datasets




This map represents LANDFIRE Biophysical Settings, or where ecosystems would have occurred based on soils, climate, surficial geology and other abiotic factors. I left the legend off as it has dozens of ecosystems.

Spatial Datasets



The Existing Vegetation Type map represents where Nature Serve's Ecosystems are currently. Again, legend left off due to number of items. That said the attribute table has a hierarchy based on the National Vegetation Classification Standards, and is also crosswalked to other classification systems such as one developed by the Society of American Foresters.

Spatial Datasets



To help paint a more complete landscape of the landscape LANDFIRE also delivers structural data such as Existing Vegetation Height and Cover as you see here. The legend has heights for herbaceous, shrub and tree vegetation types.

The link...

- The Biophysical Settings Model and Description bundles are linked to many spatial data sets
- Spatial datasets are not perfect-we are always working to improve
- Some areas for improvement are linked to the BpS descriptions

5113021 - Laurentian-Acadian Northern Hardwood Forest

General | Classes | Height/Cover Summary | Disturbances | Relevant Literature

Biophysical Setting ID: 5113021 | Biophysical Setting Name: Laurentian-Acadian Northern Hardwood Forest | Succession Class: Forested

Geographic Range
The northern hardwood community was mapped by Corbett et al. as occurring in subsections 2125c and 2127b and 2127c (eastern portions) east through 2128 in the eastern upper peninsula and 2129 in the northern lower peninsula and south locally near Lake Michigan and Lake Huron.

Biophysical Site Description
This forest type often occurs in mesic sites less productive than those of the tension zone, occurs principally on moraines, fine-textured glacial lake beds, and flat to rolling uplands grading into steep slopes. It occurs commonly on calcareous lake plains with fine-grained till.

Disturbance Description
Disturbance and successional dynamics in the northern hardwood maple-beech-hemlock type are driven by wind events. Tree falls and crown removal are the primary results from the wind disturbance. Canopy disturbances are frequent but of low intensity, often

Vegetation Description
The northern forest type is a broadly-defined consistency type with numerous regional, physiographic and edaphic variations. Pre-disturbance forests were dominated by sugar maple, with high admixtures of basswood, American Beech, and white ash. Eastern hemlock,

Model Dominant Species
PASA3 Ace
PQGR1 Pra
TSCA1 Fra
BEAL2 Ret

Model Zone
 Alaska
 California
 Great Basin

Agency/Identification Concerns
This model is bordered by model 1302-2 Northern Hardwoods-Hemlock, model 66

Uncharacteristic Native Conditions
Relative abundance of species has changed dramatically with sugar maple

LANDFIRE's Biophysical Settings for the Conterminous US
Representing where our ecosystems were historically

BpS data, version 1.0.5
Data available from www.landfire.gov

The Biophysical Settings models are stand alone products that link to LANDFIRE mapping. For example the values for the first map I showed you come from the BpS models. The Succession Classes that I will talk about in a moment are represented on today's landscape by taking the rulesets from the BPS models. In other words, some of the spatial datasets rely on the models...the better the models the better the maps in some cases.

Vegetation Model & Description Bundle

- **WHAT:** describe how ecosystems (Biophysical Settings) looked and functioned prior to major European Settlement
- **WHY:** to use as a reference to compare current conditions to (READ-not a prescription)
- **HOW:** worked with hundreds of experts to describe and model, followed by expert review, incorporation of feedback then QA/QC
- **WHEN:** ~ 2,000 models and descriptions completed in 2008. TNC's LANDFIRE team submitted 200-400 pages of documentation and associated models every two weeks.



LANDFIRE model and description bundles represent how Biophysical Settings looked and worked prior to major European settlement. These the models and descriptions that accompany them play a part in national vegetation mapping and assessment, and on-the-ground management across the country.

We are not looking at climate change, and we are not necessarily saying that reference conditions are the same as “Desired Future Conditions.” However, we think this the reference information is helpful. In some ecosystems, departure from reference conditions means higher vulnerability to climate change, and we can look to the reference vs. current conditions to asses what we might need to do to adapt.

The bundles are used in LANDFIRE to

- Understand historic disturbance patterns
- Estimate proportions of succession classes
- Get overall return interval of surface, mixed and replacement fires
- Map spatial layers
- Engage experts

WHAT

Two-part bundle

LANDFIRE Biophysical Setting Model

Biophysical Setting: 2810110 Rocky Mountain Aspen Forest and Woodland

This BPS is lumped with:
 This BPS is split into multiple models:

General Information

Contributor(s) (also see the Comments field)	Date
Modeler 1 Kelly Pohl k.pohl@usc.org	4/27/2005
Modeler 2	
Modeler 3	

Reviewers

Reviewer	Reviewer	Reviewer
Laurie Hackaby lhackaby@fl.fed.us	Chuck Konecka konecka@webaccess.net	Vic Ecklund vecklund@csu.org

Vegetation Type

Map Zones	Model Zones
Forested 28 0	<input type="checkbox"/> Alaska <input type="checkbox"/> N-Cent Rockies
	<input type="checkbox"/> California <input type="checkbox"/> Pacific Northwest
	<input type="checkbox"/> Great Basin <input type="checkbox"/> South Central
	<input type="checkbox"/> Great Lakes <input type="checkbox"/> Southeast
	<input type="checkbox"/> Northeast <input type="checkbox"/> S. Appalachians
	<input type="checkbox"/> Northern Plains <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Southwest


Dominant Species* **General Model Sources**

Species	Source
POTRS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Literature
SVOR	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local Data
AKLV	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Expert Estimate

Geographic Range
Western Colorado, Utah, northern New Mexico, northern Arizona, central Nevada.

Biophysical Site Description
This type occurs on flat to moderately steep terrain (<50%) on all aspects. Elevation typically ranges from 2775m-3555m (9000' to 11500') in magnitude. Stable aspen typically occurs above grass, sagebrush, or PFL. Soils are generally deep, moist, cool, and moist. As a species, aspen is adapted to a much broader range of environments than most plants found associated with it.

Textual description informed by ecological model



State-and-Transition Ecological Model

As we progress through the presentation today I will talk about BPS models and BPS descriptions. These are separate but linked items.

Description: the Basics

General		Classes	Height/Cover Summary	Disturbances	Relevant Literature																																
Biophysical Setting ID	Biophysical Setting Name	Land Cover Class	Name	Email																																	
5013110	North-Central Interior Dry Oak Forest and Woodland	Forested	Modeler 1 Greg Nowacki	gnowacki@fs.fed.us																																	
Geographic Range		Biophysical Site Description		Model Dominant Species																																	
Province 222. For Michigan 222J. For Wisconsin 222K, L and R.		This system occurs most commonly on interlobes where outwash, ice-contact, and end moraine landforms are situated between former glacial lobes. Other landforms suitable for development of the dry oak forest are sandy lake plain and dunes. Common to all these landforms is		<table border="1"> <tr><td>QUAL</td><td>Quercus alba</td></tr> <tr><td>QUVE</td><td>Quercus velutina</td></tr> <tr><td>QUEL</td><td>Quercus ellipsoidalis</td></tr> <tr><td>QUCC2</td><td>Quercus coccinea</td></tr> <tr><td>CAGL8</td><td>Carya glabra</td></tr> <tr><td>PRSE2</td><td>Prunus serotina</td></tr> <tr><td>SAAL5</td><td>Sassafras albidum</td></tr> <tr><td>QUMA2</td><td>Quercus macrocarpa</td></tr> </table>		QUAL	Quercus alba	QUVE	Quercus velutina	QUEL	Quercus ellipsoidalis	QUCC2	Quercus coccinea	CAGL8	Carya glabra	PRSE2	Prunus serotina	SAAL5	Sassafras albidum	QUMA2	Quercus macrocarpa																
QUAL	Quercus alba																																				
QUVE	Quercus velutina																																				
QUEL	Quercus ellipsoidalis																																				
QUCC2	Quercus coccinea																																				
CAGL8	Carya glabra																																				
PRSE2	Prunus serotina																																				
SAAL5	Sassafras albidum																																				
QUMA2	Quercus macrocarpa																																				
Disturbance Description		Vegetation Description		Model Zone																																	
The North-Central Interior Dry Oak Forest and Woodland is predominantly Fire Regime 1, characterized by low-to-moderate severity surface fires. Historically, indigenous fires accounted for over 95% of the ignitions over these landscapes. Vegetation types varied		Oaks dominated the presettlement vegetation, especially white oak (Quercus alba), black oak (Quercus velutina), northern pin oak (Quercus ellipsoidalis), and bur oak (Quercus macrocarpa). This system is distinguished from North-Central Interior		<table border="1"> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>Alaska</td><td>1st MZ</td><td>50</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>California</td><td>2nd MZ</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>Great Basin</td><td>3rd MZ</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td><td>Great Lakes</td><td>4th MZ</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>Northeast</td><td>5th MZ</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>Northern Plains</td><td>6th MZ</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>Northern Rockies</td><td>6th MZ</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>Pacific Northwest</td><td>7th MZ</td><td></td></tr> </table>		<input type="checkbox"/>	Alaska	1st MZ	50	<input type="checkbox"/>	California	2nd MZ		<input type="checkbox"/>	Great Basin	3rd MZ		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Great Lakes	4th MZ		<input type="checkbox"/>	Northeast	5th MZ		<input type="checkbox"/>	Northern Plains	6th MZ		<input type="checkbox"/>	Northern Rockies	6th MZ		<input type="checkbox"/>	Pacific Northwest	7th MZ	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Alaska	1st MZ	50																																		
<input type="checkbox"/>	California	2nd MZ																																			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Great Basin	3rd MZ																																			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Great Lakes	4th MZ																																			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Northeast	5th MZ																																			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Northern Plains	6th MZ																																			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Northern Rockies	6th MZ																																			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Pacific Northwest	7th MZ																																			
Adjacency/Identification Concerns		Uncharacteristic Native Conditions		Mapzones																																	
This type intergrades and can be easily confused with North-Central Interior Dry-Mesic Oak Forest and Woodland (1310). Fire suppression within the last century has allowed this system to be converted to that system on the loamer soils within the historic range		Though present historically, red maple has been typified as the "native invasive" in oak forests. Its abundance in these systems measured in both stem density and basal area has grown considerably due to fire suppression and the marked increase in fire return		<input type="checkbox"/> This BpS is lumped with: <input type="checkbox"/> This BpS is split into multiple models (explain differences)																																	

Originally captured in the "Model Tracker Database"

The description has multiple sections - I'll give you a quick tour of some of them today. In the "General" section or tab we find the basic information about a BPS- where it occurs, what the natural disturbance regimes were, a vegetation description and information on where the BPS would have occurred based on soils, surficial geology, climate, etc. This information was typed in by experts, Dr. Greg Nowacki in this case, often backed up by literature. These descriptions were originally developed in an Access database. That database and PDF documents of the descriptions are available on the Vegetation Tab of LANDFIRE.gov.

Description: Succession Classes

Class A

Landscape %: 2

Cover Type: Early Development 1

Struct. Stage: All Structures

Description: PRAIRIE. This class ranges from 0-4 years and succeeds to class B. Class A is grassland prairie maintained by frequently recurring fire. Replacement fire was modeled with the probability of occurring every 10 years. Native Americans used these lands for hunting, and agriculture relative plant gathering. If fire is absent for a few years, tree seedlings and sprouts would recruit into trees and form savannas. Heavy grazing, though unlikely to have large-scale impact, would have kept certain patches from progressing to a woody shrub vegetation stage and would have maintained Class A. Native grazing was modeled with the probability of occurring every 100 years.

Class Indicator Species	Indicator Spp.	Spp. Canopy Position
ANGE Andropogon gerardi	Upper	Upper
SCHIZ4 Schizachyrium	Upper	Upper
SONU2 Sorghastrum nutans	Upper	Upper

Fire Fuel Behavior Model: [dropdown]

Structural Data (for upper layer lifeform):

Min Canopy Closure: 0 %

Max Canopy Closure: 100 %

Min Height: Herb 0m

Max Height: Herb >1.1m

Max tree size class: None

Upper Layer Lifeform (select one)

Tree Shrub Herb

Upper Layer Lifeform is not Dominant

If checked, please specify the dominant lifeform, and its minimum and maximum canopy cover and height:

Class B

Landscape %: 12

Cover Type: Mid Development 1

Struct. Stage: Open

Description: SAVANNA. This class ranges from 5-14 years and succeeds to class C. Savannas conditions occurred where fire was fairly frequent allowing some trees to develop (5-15 yrs). Any area that does not burn frequently would convert to woodland conditions (class C). Replacement fire, modeled at the probability of occurring every 40 years, would send class B to class A. Surface fire, modeled at the probability of occurring every 33 years, would maintain the system in this class. Native grazing, modeled at the probability of occurring every 100 years, would also maintain the system in this class.

Class Indicator Species	Indicator Spp.	Spp. Canopy Position
QUAL Quercus alba	Upper	Upper
QUVE Quercus velutina	Upper	Upper
ANGE Andropogon gerardi	Lower	Lower
SCHIZ4 Schizachyrium	Lower	Lower

Fire Fuel Behavior Model: [dropdown]

Structural Data (for upper layer lifeform):

Min Canopy Closure: 11 %

Max Canopy Closure: 20 %

Min Height: Tree 0m

Max Height: Tree 25m

Max tree size class: Large 21-33"DBH

Upper Layer Lifeform (select one)


Tree Shrub Herb

Upper Layer Lifeform is not Dominant

If checked, please specify the dominant lifeform, and its minimum and maximum canopy cover and height:

While the general information is interesting to me, the real value added in my mind is on the succession classes tab. For each LANDFIRE model and description we developed 5 or fewer succession classes or seral stages. We described them in terms of species, disturbance, canopy characteristics and percent of the landscape that would have been occupied by the succession classes under natural disturbance regimes. I've circled a couple of items here. While these succession classes shifted around the landscape historically due to disturbance so we did not develop a historic s-class map, but we do map these today. The canopy characteristic are important for that. Also, I wanted to point out that the percentages come from the modeling we'll discuss next.

Modeling: Succession Class Percent



Boxes = Succession classes. Lines = disturbances or succession

To get an estimate of how much of each succession class would have been on the landscape we used state and transition models developed in Vegetation Dynamics Development Tool by ESSA technologies. While the modeling platform has evolved- we now use ST-Sim, the concepts are the same. Each box represents a succession class, the green lines that come out of the sides of the boxes succession and the blue lines coming out of the tops and bottoms disturbance. You'll also see the age ranges (such as 0-5), a box label (such as "A") and a broad structure label (such as "Open").


Modeling: Succession Class Percent

Inputs for VDDT modeling included:

- basic parameters for each Succession Class (structure, start and end age)
- types and annual probability of disturbances
- what happens when there is not a disturbance

Models were run:

- 10 times for 1,000 cells, 1,000 years



The experts looked to literature, personal experience and other data to come up with information to parameterize the models. The succession classes typically represent some sort of break in development of the BpS such as when shrubs start to fill in if there is no fire, when a dominant tree starts to bear cones or when the broad structural characteristics stabilize. The model is probabilistic so we entered an annual probability of a disturbance affecting a cell in a particular succession class and what happens to that cell. When a cell is not affected by a disturbance it succeeds to the next succession class. The models were run 10 times for a thousand years, which is long enough for them to stabilize.

Modeling: Review

“High-touch” hands-on process

1. Experts reviewed models and descriptions
2. Reviews were incorporated into the descriptions & models
3. Automated and manual quality assurance and quality control.

Not Perfect!



Once we had descriptions and models we began the review process, which was intense and fast. As mentioned earlier we were submitting BpS bundles every 2 weeks so we were not able to always incorporate feedback. This review will be different as we will have a long “open season” for review and incorporation. While the BPS bundles were our main focus for 2 years, we know there is room for improvement.

Delivered


- First cog in the machine of BpS, S-Class, Vegetation Departure and other mapping processes
- Description and Model bundles delivered every two weeks



After review and QA/QC we delivered the bundles to the LANDFIRE mappers who ingested them into their mapping processes. In many ways it was an insane time of life for people in the LANDFIRE project.

Uses


- In mapping processes
- For conservation planning
- As starting point for further modeling
- Forest Stewardship Council Forest certification



In addition to the mapping I mentioned earlier, planners in multiple agencies are using them as “starter models.” They will take the basic LANDFIRE models, add in current management such as logging or fire suppression then develop optimization models to figure out land management strategies to get them to their desired future conditions. Also, I’ll note that programs such as FSC certification refer to LANDFIRE as a place to get historic ecological information.

Why Review?


- “Blunders” e.g. typos, inconsistencies, and so on
- New science
- Missed opportunities
- Potential for upgraded delivery system
- Updated modeling software



There has been no comprehensive review of the LANDFIRE National model set since their original delivery from 2005 through 2009, only sporadic, ad hoc, inconsistent review based upon immediate opportunity. Since then, errors and inconsistencies have been discovered, and missing information identified. There is reason to believe that supporting science may have improved. Thus, the time is right to review and potentially revise LANDFIRE National BpS models. Leading the review process is The Nature Conservancy's (TNC) LANDFIRE team.

Our Philosophy

BpS Review + Users = Better Products



We are certain we can improve the BpS descriptions and bundles with your help, though not everyone agrees. Some feel that we will only make them different...We also know that there will be conflicting views. We will do our best to reconcile differences. We will try to make this process as painless and interesting as possible.

BpS Review Process

- We are currently “cleaning” the BpS list, removing duplicates and near duplicates.
- We will post those documents, ~1200 of them, to BpS Review website we are building
- We will then invite review; reviewers will have option to review a Word document alone, or the document plus the model.
- Most review will be done in people’s offices, though LANDFIRE team will hold WebEx’s and be available to help.
- **Review will be incorporated and delivered via a Web Site (TBD).**



The BpS review involves three steps: model cleaning, model updating, and model delivery. If you know how vegetation systems function, or have ideas how we can better deliver the information, we want your expertise and input. Start at the LANDFIRE Program website where you’ll find information on how to join the effort

Contacts & Connections



LANDFIRE Program Home: <http://www.landfire.gov/>



Conservation Gateway: <http://nature.ly/landfire>



Twitter: [@nature LANDFIRE](https://twitter.com/nature_LANDFIRE)



YouTube: [LANDFIREvideo](https://www.youtube.com/channel/UC...)



Bulletins/Post Cards via e-mail
Opt-in! http://eepurl.com/baj_BH



E-mail: LANDFIRE@tnc.org



You can find LANDFIRE online at any time. The main program website provides data and links to articles, reports, data descriptions, videos and tutorials, images and maps and other resources. It's also the home of the help desk. Visit The Nature Conservancy's LANDFIRE website on the Conservation Gateway, follow us on Twitter, subscribe to our YouTube Channel, sign up for Bulletins and Post cards or write to us at LANDFIRE @TNC.org.

Question & answer time.