

Lake States

Fire Science Consortium

A JFSP KNOWLEDGE EXCHANGE CONSORTIUM

Estimating Fire Severity

Dr. Brian R. Sturtevant, Northern Research Station, USDA Forest Service



Fire Severity Indices are tools and metrics used to rapidly assess fire effects

- Visual Field Estimates (Percent cover of burned components)
- Remote Sensing

In each case - used to scale up actual effects that are time-consuming to measure

How does one measure fire severity?

- it depends...

Effect of fire on what...?

- Vegetation
 - Which strata?
 - □ Canopy, subcanopy, shrub, grass/herbaceaous...
- Fuel
 - Which component?
 - □ canopy, ladder, ground, woody, litter, humus...
- Soil
 - What aspect?
 - □ Stability, organic matter, nutrients, water absorption

PAGAMI CREEK FIRE, 2011 (biggest in Minnesota since 1894)

Burned Area Reflectance Classification (BARC)

10 Kilometers



Relative difference normalized burn ratio (RdNBR; Miller and Thode 2007) Produced by Peter Wolter, Iowa State University

Tree Burn Severity

No Recent Fire Evidence of recent fire Presence of Green Trees > 60% Green 30-60% Green 1.21.3 15-30% Green <15% Green</p> 1.4Brown (>98%) **Mixture of Brown & Black** More Brown than Black 3.1 More Black than Brown 3.2 **Black (>98%)** Δ

Jain, T.B. and Graham, R.T., 2007. The relation between tree burn severity and forest structure in the Rocky Mountains. Pages 213-250 in R. F. Powers, technical editor. Restoring fire-adapted ecosystems: proceedings of the 2005 National Silviculture Workshop, USDA Forest Service, Albany, California, USA.



Char Height – an indicator of flame length





Soil Burn Severity



Jain, T.B. and Graham, R.T., 2007. The relation between tree burn severity and forest structure in the Rocky Mountains. Pages 213-250 in R. F. Powers, technical editor. Restoring fire-adapted ecosystems: proceedings of the 2005 National Silviculture Workshop, USDA Forest Service, Albany, California, USA.

Substrate (Soil) Severity

Proportions of:

- Litter
 - Fresh
 - Old unburned
 - Burned
- Duff
 - Burned
 - Unburned
- Moss, Shrubs, etc.
- **Mineral Soil**
 - Unburned
 - Black
 - Gray
 - Orange
- Woody Debris
 - Unburned
 - Surface Char
 - Moderate char
 - Deep Char
- Exposed Rock



Soi	l cha	racteristics	Soil PFI
			category
1a	No	evidence of a recent fire	0.0
1b	Evi	dence of recent fire	
	2a	Surface organic cover $\geq 85\%$	1.0
	2b	Surface organic cover <85%	
	3a	Forest floor surface organic cover $\geq 40\%$ and mineral soil appearance has a plurality of:	2.0
		4a unburned mineral soil	2.1
		4b black charred mineral soil	2.2
		4c gray/white charred mineral soil	2.3
		4d orange charred mineral soil	2.4
	3b	Surface organic cover <40% and mineral soil appearance has a plurality of:	3.0
		5a unburned mineral soil	3.1
		5b black charred mineral soil	3.2
		5c gray/white charred mineral soil	3.3
		5d orange charred mineral soil	3.4
	3c	(Forest floor absent) No surface organic matter left and mineral soil appearance has a plurality of:	4.0
		6a unburned mineral soil	4.1
		6b black charred mineral soil	4.2
		6c gray/white charred mineral soil	4.3
		6d orange charred mineral soil	4.4

Jain, T.B., Pilliod, D.S., Graham, R.T., Lentile, L.B. and Sandquist, J.E., 2012. Index for Characterizing Post-Fire Soil Environments in Temperate Coniferous Forests. Forests, 3: 445-466.



Relative difference normalized burn ratio Relationship with field estimates of severity







Interpretations of severity and response are often sensitive to the system, timing of burn, and time since burn



How does one measure fire severity?

- it depends...
- Effect of fire on what...?
- At what spatial scale?
- At which stage post-burn?
- Quantitative or qualitative?



Manipulating soil heating patterns to optimize barrens restoration



Sharp-tailed Grouse

Northern Research Station Brian Sturtevant, Christel Kern, Randy Kolka, Matt Dickinson, Deahn Donner Jessica Miesel: Michigan State Chequamegon-Nicolet NF Matt Bushman, Jen Rabuck, Vance Hazelton, Dan Hinson, Brian Heeringa, Michelle Davalos

Experimental fuel treatments: Contrasts in fire behavior and severity





	Forests		Grass	lands
	Substrate	Vegetation	Substrate	Vegetation
Unburned (5)	not burned	not burned	not burned	not burned
Scorched (4)	Litter partially blackened; duff nearly unchanged; wood/leaf structures unchanged	foliage scorched and attached to supporting twigs	litter partially blackened; duff nearly unchanged; leaf structures unchanged	foliage scorched
Lightly Burned (3)	litter charred to partially consumed; upper duff layer may be charred but the duff layer is not altered over the entire depth; surface appears black; woody debris is partially burned; logs are scorched or blackened but not charred; rotten wood is scorched to partially burned	foliage and smaller twigs partially to completely consumed; branches mostly intact	litter charred to partially con- sumed, but some plant parts are still discernible; charring may extend slightly into soil surface, but soil is not visibly altered; surface appears black (this soon becomes inconspicuous); burns may be spotty to uniform depending on the grass con- tinuity	grasses with approximately two inches of stubble; foliage and smaller twigs of associated species partially to completely consumed; some plant parts may still be standing; bases of plants are not deeply burned and are still recognizable
Moderately Burned (2)	litter mostly to entirely consumed, leaving coarse, light colored ash; duff deeply charred, but underlying mineral soil is not visibly altered; woody debris is mostly consumed; logs are deeply charred, burned-out stump holes are common	foliage, twigs, and small stems consumed; some branches still present	leaf litter consumed, leaving coarse, light gray or white colored ash immediately after the burn; ash soon disappears leaving bare mineral soil; charring may extend slightly into soil sur- face	unburned grass stubble usually less than two inches tall, and mostly confined to an outer ring; for other species, foliage completely consumed, plant bases are burned to ground level and obscured in ash immedi ately after burning; burns tend to be uniform
Heavily Burned (1)	litter and duff completely con- sumed, leaving fine white ash; mineral soil visibly altered, often reddish; sound logs are deeply charred, and rotten logs are completely consumed. This code generally applies to less than 10% of natural or slash burned areas	all plant parts consumed, leaving some or no major stems or trunks; any left are deeply charred	leaf litter completely consumed, leaving a fluffy fine white ash, this soon dis- appears leaving bare min- eral soil; charring extends to a depth of 1 cm (0.5 in) into the soil; this severity class is usually limited to situations where heavy fuel load on mesic sites has burned under dry conditions and low wind	no unburned grasses above the root crown; for other species, all plant parts consumed leaving some or no major stems or trunks, any left are deeply charred; this severity class is uncommon due to the short burnout time of grasses

Fire Management Program Center, National Interagency Fire Center. 274p.

Comparing Severity Indices

National Park Service (NPS) Severity Class

		1	2	3	4	5	Total
ЦЦ	0					3	3
S S	1	2	48	140	86	4	280
е×	2.1		2	2	4		8
pu	2.2		20	5			25
ହ	2.3		8	1			9
ι <u>μ</u>	3.2		7				7
ost	3.3	1	1				2
ď	3.4		1				1
Soil	4.2	1	1				2
07	Total	4	88	148	90	7	337

Soil Burn Severity

- Burned Area
 Emergency
 Response (BAER)
- Focus = Soil Stability
- Relate to Burned
 Area Reflectance
 Classification (BARC)



United States Department of Agriculture

Forest Service

Rocky Mountain Research Station

General Technical Report RMRS-GTR-243

October 2010



Field Guide for Mapping Post-Fire Soil Burn Severity

Annette Parsons, Peter R. Robichaud, Sarah A. Lewis, Carolyn Napper, and Jess T. Clark



Ground Cover Amount & Condition

Ash Cover & Depth

Soil Structure

Roots



- Also Water Repellency

Composite Burn Index

- Composite Burn Index (CBI)
- Focus: Primarily Vegetation
- Designed to Relate Burn Impacts to Remote Sensing
- Also related to BARC

Landscape Assessment (LA)

Sampling and Analysis Methods



Carl H. Key Nathan C. Benson

USDA Forest Service Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-164-CD. 2006

										-	
		STRATA	BURN SEVERITY SCALE								
		RATING FACTORS	No Effect		Low		Moderate	I	ligh	ľ	
		Rannoraerono	0.0	0.5	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.5	3.0	1	
		A. SUBSTRATES				<u>.</u>	<u>.</u>		-	-	
		% Pre-Fire Cover: Litter =	Duff=	- 1	Soil/Rock =	Pre-Fi	re Depth (inches): Lit	tter = Dut	ff = Fuel Bed	=	
		Litter/Light Fuel Consumed	Unchanged		50% litter		100% litter	>80% light fuel	98% Light Fuel	Т	
		Duff	Unchanged		Light char		50% loss deep char	-	Consumed	t	
		Medium Fuel, 3-8 in.	Unchanged		20% consumed		40% consumed	-	>60% loss, deep ch	t	
	JOHIDOSHE	Heavy Fuel, >8 in.	Unchanged		10% loss		25% loss, deep char	-	>40% loss, deep ch	Γ	
		Soil & Rock Cover/Color	Unchanged		10% change		40% change	-	>80% change	L	
	_	B. HERBS, LOW SH	RUBS AND	TREE	S LESS THA	N 3 FEI	ET (1 METER):				
	Distan	Pre-Fire Cover =		% Enha	nced Growth =					_	
	DUTI	% Foliage Altered (blk-brn)	Unchanged		30%		80%	95%	100% + branch loss	Г	
		Frequency % Living	100%		90%		50%	< 20%	None	T	
		Colonizers	Unchanged		Low		Moderate	High-Low	Low to None	T	
	т 1	Spp. Comp Rel. Abund.	Unchanged		Little change		Moderate change		High change	Γ	
	Index	C. TALL SHRUBS AND TREES 3 to 16 FEET (1 TO 5 METERS):									
		Pre-Fire Cover =		% Enha	nced Growth =						
		% Foliage Altered (blk-brn)	0%		20%		60-90%	> 95%	Signifent branch loss	Г	
		Frequency % Living	100%		90%		30%	< 15%	<1%	t	
		% Change in Cover	Unchanged		15%		70%	90%	100%	T	
		Spp. Comp Rel. Abund.	Unchanged		Little change		Moderate change		High Change	Γ	
		D. INTERMEDIATE	TREES (S	UBCAN	OPY, POLE-	SIZED	TREES)		-	-	
		Pre-Fire % Cover =	Pre-Fi	re Numl	er Living=		Pre-Fire Number	r Dead =		_	
		% Green (Unaltered)	100%		80%		40%	< 10%	None	Г	
		% Black (Torch)	None		5-20%		60%	> 85%	100% + branch loss	Γ	
		% Brown (Scorch/Girdle)	None		5-20%		40-80%	<40 or >80%	None due to torch		
		% Canopy Mortality	None		15%		60%	80%	%100		
		Char Height	None		1.5 m		2.8 m		> 5 m	L	
		Post Fire: %Girdled =	9	6Felled	=%	Tree Mo	ortality =				
		E. BIG TREES (UPP	PER CANOP	Y, DO	MINANT, CO	DOMN	ANT TREES)				
		Pre-Fire % Cover =	er Living=		Pre-Fire Number	r Dead =		_			
		% Green (Unaltered)	100%		95%		50%	< 10%	None	⊥	
		% Black (Torch)	None		5-10%		50%	> 80%	100% + branch loss	⊥	
		% Brown (Scorch/Girdle)	None		5-10%		30-70%	< 30 or > 70%	None due to torch	⊥	
		% Canopy Mortality	None		10%		50%	70%	%100	╀	
		Char Height	None		1.8 m	1.8 m - 4 m			> 7 m	T	
		Post Fire: %Girdled = %Feller			- %	Tree Mo	ortality =			=	
		Community Notes/Co	mments:		CBI =	CBI = Sum of Scores / N Rated:			ores N Rated	₽	
						Un	derstory (A+B+C)		el Bed = Fuel ep ch ep ch ep ch nge inge one nge ch loss one nge ch loss one inge ch loss orch inge inge </th	
							Overstory (D+E)			
						Total P	lot (A+B+C+D+E)			
										_	

FACTOR SCORES

CBI

Mix and Match according to Objectives

e.g., Substrate Severity Assessment

Quadrat 1 (Instrument)							
Substrate (% cover code)	Unburned	Scorched	Lt. Burn	Mod. Burn	Heavy Burn		Total Cover Code
Litter + 1hr fuel		It black	charred	No litter	No litter		
Duff		unchanged	surf char	deep char	No duff		
Mineral Soil		unchanged	black	grey	orange		
Remainder of categories: Absence () Presence (X) Dominance (D) or Not Applicable (NA) column							record if >10%
Moss, Lichen, Liverwort		brown	charred	None	None		
Low Vascular (apply grassland rules)		brown	~5cm left	< 5cm left	consumed		
10hr Fuel (0.6 - 2.5 cm)			black	charred	None		
100hr Fuel			black	charred	charcoal		
Coarse WD (> 7.6 cm) + Stumps			black	charred	charcoal		Sound% Decayed%
Quadrat & Commantai							

When coupled with typical vegetation indicators (i.e., scorching, top-kill, and consumption of different strata), enables the calculation of multiple severity indices

- Tree Burn Index
- Soil Post Burn Index
- Composite Burn Index

Lessons Learned & Recommendations

- Substrate fire severity indicators are ephemeral
 - Rapid response
 - Window of opportunity is system dependent
 - Account (where possible) for effects of time
- Where possible, record the components rather than the index
 - Supplement assessments with digital photos
- Expect fine-scaled heterogeneity
 - Match the scale of direct effects with that of the assessment
 - Multi-scale assessment can enable scaling of effects
- Methods may need refinement for controlled burn applications
 - Finer resolution of low-severity spectrum

Fire Severity Methods

- Jain, T. B. and R. T. Graham. 2007. The relation between tree burn severity and forest structure in the Rocky Mountains. Pages 213-250 in R. F. Powers, technical editor. Restoring fire-adapted ecosystems: proceedings of the 2005 National Silviculture Workshop. USDA Forest Service, Albany, California, USA.
- Jain, T. B., D. S. Pilliod, R. T. Graham, L. B. Lentile, and J. E. Sandquist. 2012. Index for Characterizing Post-Fire Soil Environments in Temperate Coniferous Forests. Forests **3**:445-466.
- Key, C. and N. Benson. 2006. Landscape assessment (LA) sampling and analysis methods. USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-164-CD.
- Parson, A., P. R. Robichaud, S. A. Lewis, C. Napper, and J. T. Clark. 2010. Field guide for mapping post-fire soil burn severity. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-243. Fort Collins, CO: US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 49 p.
- USDI National Park Service. 2003. Fire Monitoring Handbook. Boise (ID): Fire Management Program Center, National Interagency Fire Center. 274p



Image Source: Peterson et al. 2005. PNW-GTR-628.

Break-Out Group Exercise

- Estimate CBI using plot photos
- Pagami Creek Wildfire
 - N Minnesota nearboreal
 - Early wildland fire use
 = low-intensity (August)
 - Escaped crown fire (mid-Sept)
 - Photos taken late
 October

- Moquah controlled burn
 - Mid-May late dormant season burn
 - Early bud-break
 - Photos taken 1-day post-burn
 - Examples are from pine woodlands
 - Jack Pine vs Red Pine
 - Fuel addition contrasts







Field Support: To numerous to list!!

CONTACT: Brian Sturtevant bsturtevant@fs.fed.us